

FEB 14 2022

OSM

U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

In the United States Court of Federal Claims**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Filed: January 14, 2022

* * * * *	*	
DARLENE THOMPSON,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 21-1586V
	*	
v.	*	Special Master Dorsey
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * *	*	

DECISION DISMISSING CASE¹

On July 19, 2021, Darlene Thompson (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (“Vaccine Act” or “Vaccine Program”), 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 et seq. (2012). Petitioner alleges she is suffering “side effects . . . in [her] upper extremity, severe pain in sho[u]lder, upper arms, right arm w[h]ere shot is at, muscle cramps, twitches in face, blurred vision, fatigue[,] [loss] of sleep, headache[, and] sad” as a result of a COVID-19 Pfizer vaccine administered on July 8, 2021. Petition at 1-2 (ECF No. 1).

This case was originally assigned to the Chief Special Master on September 9, 2021, and was reassigned to the undersigned on January 11, 2022.

The COVID-19 vaccine is not currently covered by the Vaccine Program. It is instead covered by the Countermeasure Injury Compensation Program (“CICP”). Therefore, any petition filed alleging an injury resulting from the COVID-19 vaccine must be dismissed. For further information, petitioner may visit <https://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/coronavirus-announcements> and direct any further inquiries regarding COVID-19 vaccine injuries to the CICP at 1-855-266-2427 or cicp@hrsa.gov.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED**. The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Special Master